| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|--|--------------------------|--------------|
| | 10/690,322 | OWENS ET AL. |
| | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | CamLinh Nguyen | 2161 |
| All Participants: | Status of Application: | |
| (1) <u>CamLinh Nguyen</u> . | (3) | |
| (2) <u>Caronline Coursey</u> . | (4) | |
| Date of Interview: 7 May 2007 | Time: | |
| Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Appl Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description: | licant's representative) | |
| Part I. | | |
| Rejection(s) discussed: None | | |
| Claims discussed: 57,58 | | |
| Prior art documents discussed: None | | · |
| Part II. | | |
| SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Examiner suggest Applicant to amend claims 57 - 58 to include the "sparse matrix linked list" in the claim language. The Applicant agrees to amend the claims, and let the Examiner to do the examiner amendment. | | |
| Part III. | | |
| ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. | | |
| · | | |
| | • | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| Typyer Ench Au 2161 | | |
| (Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate) | | |